

Stephanie Rixecker,
Resource Management,
Lincoln University

As promised, I have enclosed my comments on your article. As I mentioned to you on e-mail, I was intrigued by your inter-disciplinary use of information, and I continue to be intrigued by it. The combination of spiritual connections and environmental politics highlighted towards the end of your paper is particularly compelling. Indeed, I encourage you to engage this even more, providing further depth in your discussion.

Since you asked me to review the paper from an "ecofeminist" perspective', that is what I did. I should note, however, that a variety of ecofeminist viewpoints pervade the literature. If I had to be placed on a spectrum, or in a tapestry of ideas and views, I'd be located with the "postmodernists" who argue that fluid identities exist, and that biological arguments do not provide sufficient understanding for us to "essentialize" male and female activities and behaviours. At the same time, however, I also argue that biology plays a part-, it would be silly to deny our reality as mammals, no matter how much current social constructions suggest we are separate from nature. Thus, I float between the social constructionist and biological determinist positions; I live in the grey! My comments below come from this perspective, and I added some questions to stimulate debate. Ultimately, your perspective and arguments are yours; please do not regard my comments as dictates or anything in that vein. Please feel free to ask questions about my comments, too. I do not have the definitive ecofeminist line, so be sure to gather comments across the ecofeminist spectrum.

I am especially intrigued by your understanding of genetics, quantum mechanics, and chaos theory. I have dabbled in these areas, but the technical detail is beyond my expertise. nevertheless, I think much is to be learned by bringing together ideas about spirituality, environmental politics, chaos theory, and ecofeminist concerns. The issue I find especially compelling at the moment is genetic engineering, and I laud your attempts to tie this into your work. I encourage you to keep doing so. The debate is predominantly held in the sciences, and this forum takes on different ethics and issues than the various sociocultural fora do. I would love to discuss this further in future, if you're interested. Best of luck with your continued work.